

**REMARKS**

In view of the above amendment, Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Claims 1-8 are now present in this application. Claim 1 is independent.

Amendments have been made to claims 1-8. Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

**Priority Under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

Applicant thanks the Examiner for acknowledging Applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119, and receipt of the certified priority documents.

**Information Disclosure Citation**

Applicant thank the Examiner for considering the reference supplied with the Information Disclosure Statements filed November 22, 2005 and May 29, 2007, and for providing Applicant with an initialed copies of the PTO-1449 or PTO-SB08 forms filed therewith.

**Drawings**

Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that the drawings are accepted.

**Claim Objections**

The Examiner has objected to claims 1-8 because of several informalities. In order to overcome this objection, Applicant has amended claims 1-8 in order to correct the deficiencies pointed out by the Examiner. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection are respectfully requested.

**Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> Paragraph**

Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> Paragraph. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner has set forth certain instances wherein the claim language lacks antecedent basis or is not clearly understood.

In order to overcome this rejection, Applicant has amended claims 1-8 to correct each of the deficiencies specifically pointed out by the Examiner. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims, as amended, particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

#### **Allowable Subject Matter**

The Examiner states that claims 1-8 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> Paragraph.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the early indication of allowable subject matter in this application. Claims 1-8 have been amended as set forth above in order to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> Paragraph.

#### **Cited References**

Since the references cited by the Examiner have not been utilized to reject the claims, but have merely been cited to show the state of the art, no comment need be made with respect thereto.

#### **Conclusion**

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider the presently outstanding rejection and that it be withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone James T. Eller, Jr., Registration No. 39,538, at (703) 205-8000, in the Washington, D.C. area.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

Application No. 10/557,609  
Amendment dated January 24, 2008  
Reply to Office Action of October 24, 2007

Docket No.: 1338-0208PUS1  
Page 8

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: January 24, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By James T. Eller, Jr.  
James T. Eller, Jr.

Registration No.: 39,538  
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP  
8110 Gatehouse Road  
Suite 100 East  
P.O. Box 747  
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747  
(703) 205-8000  
Attorney for Applicant